

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/764,800 | FONTOURA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Mohammad Ali | 2166 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Mohammad Ali. (3) _____.

(2) Janaki K. Davda (Reg. No. 40,684). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2007

Time: 5:00PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 11, 14, 21 and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to applicant's representative to further amend the claims towards the invention and applicant's representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and an authorization has given for an Examiner's amendment

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)